### **Impact of Deep Residual** Stress on NDI Methods

#### **21 September 2017**

#### John Brausch<sup>1</sup>, David Stubbs<sup>2</sup> Ward Fong<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>AFRL/RXSA, Systems Support Division Materials and Manufacturing Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 <sup>2</sup>Universal Technology Corporation, Dayton, Ohio

<sup>3</sup> Ogden NDI Program Office, Hill AFB, UT

### 100 YEARS OF U.S. AIR FORCE SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Integrity **\*** Service **\*** Excellence









- Summary of Current Knowledge
- Effect of Laser Peening on NDI of Fatigue Cracks in Aluminum Alloys
- Quantifying Ultrasonic "Dead Zone" in Cold Worked Holes
- Future Work







Dr. Adrian DeWald - Hill Engineering

Dr. Michael Hill - Hill Engineering

Dr. Mark Thomsen - A-10 ASIP Manager

Mr. Mark Bennett - Universal Technology Corporation

Mr. Brian Shivers – Southern Ohio Center for Higher Education







- Ultrasonic response from EDM and unloaded fatigue cracks differ by ~ 6dB for aluminum.
- Applied compressive stress reduces ultrasonic signal amplitude in aluminum by -6dB for every 4ksi for aluminum.
- Applied compressive stresses <u>do not</u> significantly affect BHEC or SECI on aluminum or titanium.
- Applied compressive stress affects fluorescent penetrant detection capability.
- CX of holes does not measurably affect BHEC on aluminum or titanium.
- CX of holes significantly affects SECI at the mandrel exit surface due to crack "tunneling".



- CX of holes <u>reduces</u> ultrasonic detectability of fatigue cracks
  - Extent of ultrasonic dead zone not quantified or correlated to hole diameter or plate thickness.
- Deep residual stress surface treatments <u>do not</u> significantly affect SECI detectability in aluminum or titanium.
- Deep residual stress surface treatments significantly <u>affect</u> fluorescent penetrant detection capability.





# I. Quantify shear-wave ultrasonic detection capability for fatigue cracks propagating from CX holes.

- $\circ~$  POD study for typical CX and no-CX countersink hole scenario
  - Semi-automated and manual scanning
- Develop model to address component geometry, plate thickness, hole diameter, % hole expansion, hole fill
- Conduct empirical sensitivity studies to calibrate model

# II. Quantify effects of deep residual stress on crack closure and NDI of open surfaces.

- Ti-6-4 Beta peening study suggests compressive stress surrounding crack may be relieved, enabling penetrant to enter crack.
- Laser Peening study (Hill Engineering) should provide additional learning for Aluminum.





### <u>Objective</u>

# Quantify the effect of LSP on detectability of fatigue cracks in aluminum.

### <u>Approach</u>

Measure and compare indication response on LSP treated and unpeened fatigue cracks specimens. Eddy current, fluorescent penetrant and ultrasonic methods evaluated.



### 7050-T7541 Specimen Configuration





#### Fatigue Crack Specimens (*provided by Hill Engineering*)

- 20 ea. Unpeened
- 20 ea. LSP treated
- Precracked with 0.050 inch long x 0.025 inch deep electro-discharge machined (EDM) notches. EDM machined away then crack grown to target length.
- 0.070 inch 0.300 inch target surface lengths





#### **Typical Aspect Ratios** Phase I Specimens







#### US-3515/3516 Probe 200 KHz

FET-3312 Probe 400 KHz















#### Calculation of FPI Indication Parameters using NIH Image J

- Indication length (L)
- Average gray scale value along indication (PI)
- Standard deviation of gray scale value along indication (SDI)
- Background average gray scale value (PB)

Signal-to-noise (S:N) and factored length (LF) values were calculated and tabulated for each indication as follows:





### Level 3 FPI Process



#### Level 3 FPI Process

- Level 3 (high sensitivity penetrant)
- 30 minute penetrant dwell
- Method D (5% spray remover)
- Form a dry powder developer
- 10 minute developer dwell



L. = 0 199 inch

SN = 0.18

L<sub>I</sub> = 0.21 inch

= 0.063

 $I_{...} = 0.130$  incl

L<sub>I</sub> = 0.135 inch

= 0.148

SN = 0.3

0.5 inch

SN = 1.1

 $L_{1.1} = 0.302$  inc

L = 0.304 inch

0.055

### Level 4 FPI Process



#### Level 4 FPI Process

- Level 4 (ultrahigh sensitivity penetrant)
- 30 minute penetrant dwell
- Method D (5% spray remover)
- Form d nonaqueous developer
- 15 minute developer dwell





### Surface Wave Ultrasonics



<u>Surface Wave Unit</u> 90° shear wedge 10 MHz, 0.25 inch diameter transducer

<u>Calibration</u> 80%FSH from 0.02 x 0.01 inch notch in a 7075-T7 reference plate



### Surface Wave Ultrasonics Results





### **Shear-Wave Ultrasonics**





<u>Surface Wave Unit</u> 45° shear wedge 10 MHz, 0.25 inch diameter transducer

<u>Calibration</u> 80%FSH from 0.02 x 0.01 inch notch in a 7075-T7 reference plate









- LSP reduced ECI response from fatigue cracks by up to 1dB when the US-3515/3516 probe was used.
- LSP reduced ECI response from fatigue cracks by up to 3dB when the FET-3312 probe was used.
- Fluorescent penetrant detectability significantly degraded as a result of residual compressive loads imparted by LSP applied to 7050-T7541 aluminum.
- A combination of Level 4 (ultra-high sensitivity) fluorescent penetrant and focused eddy current will provide optimum detection capability.
- Surface and shear wave ultrasonics are not viable techniques to detect fatigue cracks in LSP aluminum surfaces. Ultrasonic responses from fatigue cracks were reduce by >26dB on LSP treated surfaces.





### <u>Objective</u>

### Quantify extent of "ultrasonic dead zone" extending from hold worked holes. Establish correlations to hole diameter and/or plate thickness.

### <u>Approach</u>

Measure, map and compare ultrasonic response of fatigue cracks extending from cold worked holes in various hole diameters and plate thicknesses.





- CX of holes **reduces** ultrasonic detectability of fatigue cracks
- Crack must extend beyond compressive zone to be detectable by UT
- Previous efforts suggest compressive stress zone extended >0.075 inch beyond edge of hole for the scenario investigated by Forsythe and Mills.
- Correlation between hole diameter, plate thickness and compressive stress zone (i.e. ultrasonic dead zone) not well defined.
- Characterization of this effect is critical to:
  - Optimizing inspection techniques
  - Estimating UT detection capability

Forsythe, D., Mills, T. "Results of Study of Applied Stress and CX Process on Detectability of Fatigue Cracks"







- Map ultrasonic response along cracks grown in CX holes.
- Characterize "dead zone" for a range of hole diameters and plate thicknesses
  - o Plate thicknesses: 0.100, 0.508 inch
  - $\circ$  Hole diameters: 0.280 inch, 0.450 inch, 0.540 inch
- Highly focused ultrasonic immersion inspection ≈ 0.020 inch focal spot
  - 45 degree shear, 10 MHz
- Map reflected ultrasonic energy along crack length.





### **Ultrasonic C-scan Results**

0.760 0.050-



- Twelve fatigue crack specimens tested.
- The ultrasonic data were acquired by raster-scanning across the fatigue crack in 0.005" steps.
- Each ultrasonic "C-scan" contained an image of the hole as well as the crack.
- No reflection between the hole radius and the crack signal suggests the cold work suppresses a reflection from the crack.
- 6dB drop defines edge of crack response.

0.240-0.430-0.620-0.810-1.000-1.190-1.380-Reflection from crack

In this C-scan image the crack signal begins 0.165 inches away from the hole. In this "dead zone" no ultrasound is reflected from the crack.

- Dead zone measured twice:
  - 1) Reference gain set at peak response (95% screen height) from fatigue crack.
  - 2) Reference gain set at 95% screen height response from 0.050 inch corner EDM notch in
  - 0.540 inch D hole, 0.508 inch thick sample.









 The "dead zone" around each hole found to be proportional to the diameter of the hole with significant scatter.

• Similar analysis showed no dependence of the dead zone on thickness.



- Extent of ultrasonic dead zone correlates to hole diameter.
- No correlation to plate thickness observed.
- Significant scatter suggests variability in compressive stress profiles, crack morphology or closure.
- Use upper bound of UT dead zone estimates to correct UT POD estimates for cold worked hole scenarios.
- Ultrasonic inspections of cold worked holes must be designed to interrogate beyond the tangency of the hole.



# Future Work What We Still Wanted to Know



#### I. Quantify UT dead zone in Cx holes

- Investigate cause of dead zone variability
- Size UT dead zone for a range of Cx levels
- Correlate UT dead zone to residual stress and fastener camera measurements
- Define optimum UT system design for Cx holes
- $\circ~$  Develop Cx correction factors for UT POD estimates
- II. Investigate the impact of fastener installation on ultrasonic fatigue crack detectability?
  - Taper-Lok fasteners
  - o Interference fit fasteners
  - $\circ~$  Interference fit fasteners installed in cold worked holes.

## III. Investigate the impact of deep residual stress treatments on fatigue crack detection capability?

- Laser shock peening on titanium alloys
- Shot peening aluminum and titanium (UT and FPI focus)





## **Questions?**